

Summary of Discussion
Broward County Charter Review Commission
Wednesday, March 14, 2007
Broward County Governmental Center
115 South Andrews Avenue, Room 430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
10:00 AM – 1:00 PM

Members Present:

Commissioner Hayward J. Benson, Jr.
Michael L. Buckner, Esq.
Mayor Debby Eisinger
David Esack
Patricia Good
H.K. "Petey" Kaletta
Mark Ketcham
Dr. Mark Lieberman
Joseph Maus, Esq.
Commissioner Ted Mena
Mayor Lori Moseley, Chair
Burnadette Norris-Weeks, Esq.
Commissioner Hazelle Rogers, Vice Chair
Bruce Rogow, Esq.
Dr. Irv Rosenbaum
Jodi Jeffreys-Tanner
Wil Trower
Richard J. Weiss, Esq.

Members Absent:

Robert Penezic, Esq.

Others Attending:

Bruce Moeller, Fire Chief, Sunrise
Pete Corwin, Asst. to the County Administrator, Broward County
Chedley Etienne, Administrative Assistant, CRC
Ellen Feld, Esq.
Dan Glickman, resident of Deerfield Beach
Matthue Goldsten, Broward County Planning Council
Yolanda Grooms, Administrative Specialist, CRC
Maria Gross, Assistant Director, CRC
Alain Jean, Broward Sheriff's Office
Phyllis A. King, Transcriber
David Tolces, Esq., General Counsel
Lisa Vondrak
Patricia G. West, Executive Director

(A copy of the sign-in sheets identifying those present is filed with the supplemental papers to the summary of this Charter Review Commission Meeting.)

A meeting of the Broward County Charter Review Commission ("CRC" or "Commission") was held at 10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, March 14, 2007 at the Broward County Governmental Center, Room 430, Fort Lauderdale, FL.

I Call to Order/Roll Call

Chair Lori Moseley called the meeting to order at 10:06 a.m. The Chair then requested the roll call by Phyllis A. King, PKING Consulting, Inc. Upon completion of roll call, Chair Moseley recognized a quorum present.

II Approval of February 14, 2007 Summary of Discussion

The Chair requested a **motion for approval** of the CRC February 14th Summary of Discussion.

A motion was made by Mr. Maus; seconded by Ms. Jeffreys-Tanner. The Chair called for all in favor; I's were stated and the motion was approved unanimously.

III Chair and Executive Director's Report

The Chair asked Ms. West to proceed. Ms. West advised the Committee that staff has provided large binders in order to assist each member in organizing their CRC documentation. She further advised of items included in today's CRC meeting packet, which includes:

- **The CRC Summary of Discussions from the February 8th and February 14th Public Hearings.**
- **Commissioner Stacy Ritter's comments from the February 14th meeting.**
- **List of Charter Review Commission Revised Subcommittee Appointments.**
- **Memo 2007-01 dated March 7, 2007 from General Counsel regarding MPO Membership.**
- **Summary of Discussion for February 14, 2007 CRC Meeting.**
- **Ordinance on Ethics**

Ms. West advised that members were asked to review the Ethics Ordinance to determine if it went far enough. She advised that the County has just instituted the Ordinance and the CRC

members were to review it to see if they wished to do something else or add to it which might be a part of the full discussion later on.

- **Article V – Conflict of Interest-Sec. 26-67.Intent and declaration of policy.**

Ms. West then informed everyone that they would be reviewing the minutes of the 2000 – 2002 CRC regarding ethics information as well. She stated that later on the Committee would allow a round robin in order for the subcommittees to set up a regular day and time for their meetings. Ms. West informed the dais of the following that staff: 1) is also pulling together Firefighter information from the MESC; which will be made available when the Subcommittees begin their sessions. 2) Staff has received a chronology from the 2000 – 2002 CRC related to the Strong Mayor Initiative and staff is copying and organizing that notebook now and it will be made available for review.

Ms. West added that Item #43,from yesterday's County Commission Agenda , added by Commissioner Lieberman was a Motion to Discuss the County's efforts as it relates to the 2010 Census. Ms. West advised that the item relates to the Charter Review and its approach to redistricting and that the captioner's notes are available. Based upon what happened yesterday, staff would monitor weekly County Commission meetings for issues pertinent to the CRC concerns.

Ms. West reminded everyone that the CRC has an email box where questions from the public are being submitted related to various issues. Ms. West advised that she is able to forward those questions to staff within the County to get answers for individuals and it is working well. Ms. West stated staff monitors the website and asked the dais if they are monitoring the CRC website and if they see something that is not up-to-date, to please inform staff. .

IV. Discussion of Charter Issues

Ordinance on Ethics

The Chair asked if everyone had the opportunity to review the Ordinance on Ethics and asked whether they are satisfied with it for now, whether it is assigned where it needs to be, and whether there needs to be further discussion or if anyone has dialogue on it.

The Chair again asked everyone to try to review the Ordinance for next month. Ms. Norris-Weeks asked if it is intended that the Ordinance would be the first issue that the Committee is

dealing with as a group. The Chair replied that the Ordinance was requested for fact finding purposes and the Committee would have just general dialogue as to how the ethics was dealt with in the Charter. Ms. Norris-Weeks requested confirmation that it would be on the next agenda for discussion. The Chair replied yes.

Memo 2007-001 – CRC/ Metropolitan Planning Organization (“MPO”) Memorandum

Mr. Tolces advised the Committee that his office was requested to update their prior memo regarding the MPO in Broward County and whether or not it could be regulated at all by the County Charter. Upon further research, Mr. Tolces advised that his office clarified its opinion by issuing **Memorandum No. 2007-001 – Broward County Charter review Commission (“CRC”) / Metropolitan Planning Organization (“MPO”) – Membership of MPO Board** which states that the County could decide to have the County Commission serve as the MPO if the County elects to do so. He added that he has provided the Commission with the relevant provision of the Florida Statutes that provides that any County Chartered under the State Constitution may elect to have its’ County Commission serve as the MPO. He stated that there are also additional members that would have to be appointed. He raised the question of, what the word “*elect*” means Does it mean that the County Commission gets to choose or is it that the electors of the County, in which case, it could in theory be a part of the County Charter Mr. Tolces stated that this was the issue and the memo is to clarify their opinion for the Committee. The Commission discussed the issue.

The Chair acknowledged Mr. Benson. Mr. Benson asked what the most appropriate approach would be to making a specific recommendation from the CRC to the County Commission. The Chair responded if it is the pleasure of the Committee, MPO could go under Transportation as a part of that issue.

Mr. Tolces stated that under the rules of the CRC, if someone makes a motion to discuss an issues and it is approved by 10 members of the CRC, then it would be brought forward again for discussion as a potential proposed Charter Amendment to go on for further drafting and consideration. He stated that the memo was just being provided presently for informational purposes.

Mr. Benson clarified his inquiry that the CRC could in fact take a position with regards to discussing the item and specifically making a recommendation to the County Commission as to whether or not it should stay as is, or the Commission could in fact elect to become the MPO.

Mr. Tolces responded that the CRC's decision would be to either put a proposed amendment to the County Charter on the ballot with respect to establishing the County Commission as the Board for the MPO as provided for by statute. Additionally, he added that the ultimate decision the CRC could also make is an informal recommendation if desired to the County Commission with respect to what the CRC feels the County Commission should do. But if the CRC wishes, it can elect to propose that an amendment be placed on the ballot concerning this issue.

Mr. Benson asked if the CRC agreed as a Commission that the MPO was one of the items that need to have some review and comment. The Chair responded that the Committee could have a motion on the floor and it would naturally fit under Transportation because she did not believe that MPO held up by itself.

Dr. Rosenbaum reminded everyone that this is a very important issue and it needs to be addressed. He added that whatever committee it is placed in, it should not underestimate the importance of the MPO. Dr. Rosenbaum strongly urged that the Commission look at the system as a whole and that the Committee should not put one form of government with the characteristics of another, as Broward County suffers from that now. Dr. Rosenbaum advised that the CRC subcommittees coordinate their efforts to eliminate duplication. Dr. Rosenbaum reminded everyone, that if you make the County Commission into more of a regional government, that the MPO is a very major key.

Mr. Mena stated that he seconded Dr. Rosenbaum and Mr. Benson and suggested that the MPO is studied and discussed. He further suggested that the CRC be cautious and not eliminate the Cities involved because there are many important problems that are happening in Broward County that the MPO is addressing and if the Cities do not have some input into it there may be a problem. Mr. Mena provided an example for the Commission of an issue in the Northwest quadrant of Broward County where one City is keeping another City from opening a gateway through the County; which is not right and is because of politics. He added that what is suggested must not be based on politics and must be for the betterment of the County.

Mr. Trower reminded everyone that the MPO issue had already been passed by the CRC under Land Use: MPO; which is located on Page 36 of the February 14, 2007 CRC Summary of Discussion.

The Chair thanked Mr. Trower for pointing it out.

Mr. Trower asked if by it passing, if it means that it is on the list for a subcommittee to work on or does other action need to be taken on it or any other items?

Mr. Benson stated that he just wanted to make sure that it is done as the MPO is too critical to not bother with it, because there is a perceived notion that the State Statutes preclude the CRC from doing so. The Chair reminded everyone that MPO is under Land Use and asked if it should remain there.

Mr. Weiss advised that his law firm serves as Counsel to the MPO and if it could be moved to the Transportation Committee, it would be appreciated because there might be a conflict of interest. The Chair called for motion. The motion was made by Mr. Benson and seconded by Mr. Mena.

Motion No.	Title / Description	Status (Pass/Fail)	Count (Y vs. N)
1	Motion to move MPO from Land Use subcommittee to Transportation subcommittee	Passed	18 yes / 1 abstained

Mr. Weiss asked Ms. West to show him as abstaining and requested a voting conflict form. Ms. West replied yes. (A signed copy of **FORM 8B-MEMORANDUM OF VOING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCL PUBLIC OFFICERS** is filed with the summary of this meeting.)

Subcommittee Appointments – (Establishment of Regular Subcommittee Meeting Day and Times)

The Chair stated that she had a difficult task in choosing the individuals for each subcommittee and advised that everyone wanted to be on Administrative Issues and Governance and obviously everyone could not get their first choice on that issue. The Chair stated that she would be willing to entertain a Motion to accept the Subcommittee appointments.

Commissioner Rogers suggested changing the number of individuals on each subcommittee. She expressed her desire to be active and to be a voting member on the subcommittees. Commissioner Rogers then questioned whether the Chair and Vice Chair would have a vote if they were considered floating “at-large” members. A discussion ensued regarding this issue with Ms. Good, Mr. Esack, Mr. Weiss, Mr. Mena, and Ms. Kaletta contributing. The members

discussed the number of members on each subcommittee. The members also discussed whether to rotate the presence of the Chair and Vice-Chair at the subcommittee meetings.

The Chair stated that she had no need to be a voting member of a subcommittee with Ms. Rogers stating her desire to be on a subcommittee and have a vote. Mr. Weiss offered his place on any subcommittee to Ms. Rogers.

Mr. Mena moved that Ms. Rogers should be a voting member on a subcommittee. He then advised Ms. Rogers that she does indeed have a vote in the main dais.

Ms Good stated that she believes Ms. Rogers as Vice Chair would be an asset on any subcommittee.

Ms. Kaletta stated her position that roles of the Chair and Vice Chair are to ensure that subcommittees do not overlap or conflict with one another and that the subcommittees are going in the right direction and not conflicting.

Mr. Benson raised the topic regarding not being appointed to the subcommittee of his choice.

Mr. Esack recommended considering the motion made by Mr. Mena. The Chair asked for clarification of the motion. Mr. Maus stated that the motion was to place Ms. Rogers on the subcommittees according to her preference.

Mr. Mena advised that he made the motion, Mr. Maus then seconded it.

Mr. Tolces stated that because the Commission had not given the Chair the inherent authority to make the appointment to the subcommittees, then the Committee has to look at Robert's Rules as far as the process by which the subcommittees are accepted by the Commission as presented by the Chair. Mr. Tolces explained that the process under Robert's Rules is for the Chair to present the question to the Commission as to whether or not they accept the names of the Committees as presented. The only action that can be taken at that time is to remove individuals from those subcommittees that the Commission does not feel should be appointed to specific subcommittees because the Chair had the authority to make those appointments. Mr. Tolces stated that he understood the desire either to increase or to provide for positions for certain members on certain subcommittees. The process established and filed is for the Chair to make the determination of which subcommittees members will sit on. He stated that the motion with respect to the membership of the subcommittee should be whether to accept them

as presented and secondary motions if there are members that for one reason or another should not be on certain subcommittees, and then the motion should be to strike those individuals. It would then be up to the Chair to appoint new members to those subcommittees. Mr. Tolces stated that the issues with respect to a quorum and whether or not the Chair or Vice Chair are voting or non-voting members or whether or not they should be on each subcommittee, can be issues that the Committee as a whole can decide separately.

Mr. Mena reminded the dais that the motion has been made to let Ms. Rogers choose and since she is the only one with the issue, she should be allowed to choose.

Ms. Rogers stated that she disagreed and re-stated her position and clarified that if it is the wish of the Chair that she is an at-large member and a voting member then she has no issue. However, if she is not going to be voting on any of the subcommittees then she would prefer to be assigned to her first choice which was Governance. The Chair advised Ms. Rogers that ex-officio does not vote. Mr. Rogers stated that if she is not determined to be ex-officio with voting rights then she would like to be assigned to the subcommittee of her first choice. The Chair advised that she would give it some thought because it is her discretion. Further discussion ensued as to options of placement for Ms. Rogers.

The Chair called for a motion to accept the subcommittees as they are.

Motion No.	Title / Description	Status (Pass/Fail)	Count (Y vs. N)
2	Motion to accept subcommittee assignments as they are. Moved by Ms. Kaletta , Seconded by Mr. Esack.	Passed	

Ms. Rogers asked about amendments to the subcommittees. The Chair explained that under Robert's Rules you could only amend a subcommittee by removing a member, you cannot add.

Mr. Tolces explained that the membership to the subcommittees is presented to the group as a whole. At that point in time, a motion can be made based upon the names presented to remove individuals from those subcommittees, and then a motion would be made, seconded, and voted on with respect to those specific members. He advised that once those members are stricken then either the Chair would add members to fill the vacant spaces and then re-present to the full Commission again for consideration. He stated that if there is a different process that the CRC

decides upon, then that process could be followed, but as of now the only process in place is the process established through Robert's Rules.

Mr. Lieberman asked for clarification that someone could be removed and Ms. Rogers could take that persons place. Mr. Tolces advised that prior to acceptance of the names presented, a motion to remove someone must be presented, seconded, and voted upon and then it would be the Chair's discretion to remove someone and add that person and then a new group of names would be presented and voted on. Mr. Lieberman asked if someone could remove himself or herself to achieve that. Mr. Tolces replied yes.

Mr. Lieberman reminded the dais that Mr. Weiss had already put that option on the table. He stated that there also seems to be information among parties that he does not understand, as to how they are privy to it, when there are not supposed to be discussions outside of the CRC as to who is on, who requested what and how many members are on each subcommittee. He stated that he did not receive that information.

Ms. Rogers explained that she requested the information from the Executive Director and obtained the responses submitted by the members of the CRC. Mr. Benson advised that he, too, went directly to the Executive Director and requested the information.

Mr. Lieberman stated that he would make a motion if Mr. Weiss would like to come off of the Governance Committee and Ms. Rogers wants to be added on that subcommittee, if it is according to the rules? The Chair advised that Mr. Weiss could not come off as the Chair of the subcommittee that he was appointed to chair.

Mr. Weiss advised that he is not the Chair of that subcommittee and he was trying to make the suggestion as a means to move on, and that the Chair has made a decision. He commented that he was not aware that there were so many others who were dissatisfied. He was trying to help Commissioner Rogers out. He thought that there was only one person requesting a committee assignment that did not get it.

Mr. Ketcham asked Mr. Tolces if it is possible for the Chair to withdraw her list, make adjustments to get Ms. Rogers on a subcommittee and re-present to the Commission.

Mr. Benson explained the numbers and advised that he obtained that list as requested from the Executive Director of the Commission. He too expressed concern that he was not appointed on the subcommittee of his choice.

Ms. West explained that the document Mr. Benson and Ms. Rogers has is indeed a document that came from her and it was her working document. She further explained that she did not make the appointments to the subcommittees. The information was developed as a staff person in order to make sure when questioned she had accurate documentation. Ms. West explained that the Chair did not advise her that the document would be used to assign the subcommittee members. Ms. West added that she developed the information as a staff person would do.

Ms. Kaletta stated that she does not think that any one member got what they requested. She stated that the list should be accepted as is and the Commission should move.

Mr. Tolces explained that the motion at this time is to accept the subcommittee list and members along with the Chairs as presented. The Chair requested a roll call.

Motion No.	Title / Description	Status (Pass/Fail)	Count (Y vs. N)
3	Motion to accept subcommittee assignments as presented including the Chairs as indicated on document provided in the Agenda Packet. Moved by Mr. Mena, seconded by Ms. Jeffreys-Tanner.	Passed	16 Y / 2 N (Roll Call Vote)
4	Motion whether to make Vice Chair a voting member of subcommittees. Moved by Mr. Benson, Seconded by Ms. Norris-Weeks.	Passed	Unanimously
5	Motion whether Vice Chair will not be required to be counted for quorum purposes. Moved by Mr. Benson, Seconded by Ms. Eisinger.	Passed	Unanimously

The Chair noted that a Round-Robin session needs to take place with regard to Subcommittee meeting coordination and scheduling since everyone serves on more than one subcommittee. The Chair advised that the subcommittees are also to elect their Vice Chairs. Mr. Tolces advised the Commission that they could leave the dais if they are not a part of the subcommittee session.

Administrative Issues / Governance Subcommittee – Appointment of Vice Chair (Irv Rosenbaum, Chair, Bruce Rogow, Richard Weiss, Michael Buckner, Patricia Good, Debby Eisinger, Burnadette Norris-Weeks)

Chair, Irv Rosenbaum opened the floor for nominations of Vice Chair. Ms. Norris Weeks was **nominated by Mr. Rogow and seconded by Ms. Good. The nomination passed unanimously**; with Ms. Norris-Weeks as Vice Chair of the Administrative Issues / Governance Subcommittee.

Administrative Issues / Governance Meeting Time

The members decided that subcommittee would meet the second Wednesday at a standard time and location due to coordination of the regular CRC meeting. **A motion was made and seconded for the meeting to take place every 2nd Wednesday at 8 am. Motion passed unanimously.**

With no other business, Chair Rosenbaum called the Administrative Issues / Governance Round Robin meeting completed at 11:02 am.

The Chair recognized the Health/Social Services Subcommittee.

Health/Social Services - Appointment of Vice Chair (Will Trower, Mark Lieberman, Joseph Maus, Mark Ketcham, David Esack, Burnadette Norris-Weeks, Jodi Jeffreys-Tanner)

Chair Will Trower opened the floor for discussion regarding appointing a Vice Chair. Mr. Lieberman nominated Jodi Jeffreys-Tanner. Mr. Esack seconded. Nomination passed unanimously with Ms. Tanner as Vice Chair of the Health/Social Services Subcommittee

Health and Social Services Meeting Time

Mr. Lieberman requested that the time and location of the meeting be at the same time as the Public Safety Subcommittee because he is a member of that subcommittee as well. A discussion ensued with regard to a convenient meeting time. Ms. West mentioned a 90-minute timeframe as the usual length of the meeting time. Ms. West also referred to the the time it takes to draft summaries, establish location of meetings, frequency of meetings, and the consideration of scheduling of speakers for meetings.

Mr. Trower recognized a motion for the meeting of the Health/Social Services Subcommittee to meet every 2nd Wednesday immediately following the regular CRC meeting from 1:00pm to 2:30 pm. Motion passed unanimously. Chair Trower asked Ms.

West if she could find a location for the Committee to meet. Ms. West advised that she would. There being no further business, the Health/Social Services Round Robin was completed at 11:09 am.

The Chair Called for the Land Use Subcommittee.

Land Use – Appointment of Vice Chair (Richard Weiss, Joseph Maus, Hayward Benson, Irv Rosenbaum, Patricia Good, Mark Ketcham, Robert Penezic)

Chair Richard Weiss opened the floor for nominations of a Vice Chair. **Ms. Good nominated Hayward Benson and Mr. Weiss seconded. Nomination passed unanimously with Mr. Benson as Vice Chair of the Land Use Subcommittee.**

Land Use Meeting Time

After discussion, **Mr. Weiss recognized a motion for the meeting of the Land Use Subcommittee to meet every 1st and 3rd Friday of the month at 8:00 am with the first meeting-taking place on Friday, March 23rd as an exception. Motion passed unanimously.** There being no other business the Land Use Round Robin was completed at 11:16 am.

The Chair Called for the Public Safety Subcommittee.

Public Safety – Appointment of Vice Chair (Mark Lieberman, Robert Penezic, Petey Kaletta, Debby Eisinger, Ted Mena, Hayward Benson, Will Trower)

Chair Mark Lieberman opened the floor for nominations of Vice Chair. **Mr. Lieberman nominated Ms. Debby Eisinger and Mr. Mena seconded. Nomination passed unanimously with Ms. Eisinger as Vice Chair of the Public Safety Subcommittee.**

Public Safety Meeting Time

After discussion, **Mr. Lieberman recognized a motion for the meeting of the Public Safety Subcommittee to meet on the Second Wednesday of every month following the Health/Social Services Meeting beginning at 2:30 pm. Motion passed unanimously with a**

location TBD by Ms. West. There being no other business the Land Use Round Robin was completed at 11:18 am.

The Chair Called for the Transportation Subcommittee.

Transportation – Appointment of Vice Chair, (Michael Buckner, Bruce Rogow, David Esack, Jodi-Jeffreys-Tanner, Ted Mena, Petey Kaletta)

Chair Michael Buckner opened the floor for nominations of Vice Chair. **Mr. Rogow nominated Mr. Ted Mena** and, there being no other nominations, called for a vote. **The nomination passed unanimously with Mr. Mena as Vice Chair of the Transportation Subcommittee.**

Transportation Meeting Time

Mr. Buckner recognized a motion for the meeting of the Transportation Subcommittee to meet every 1st and 3rd Thursday of the month at 10:00am with the location TBD with the first meeting to be held on April 5. Motion passed unanimously. There being no other business the Transportation Subcommittee Round Robin was completed at 11:22 am.

Ms. West restated the schedules for the record.

Subcommittee	Meeting Date
Administrative Issues/Governance	2 nd Wednesday – 8am
Health/Social Services	2 nd Wednesday – 1pm
Land Use	1 st and 3 rd Fridays – 8am (exception 3/23) 8am
Public Safety	2 nd Wednesday – 2:30pm – 4pm
Transportation	1 st and 3 rd Thursdays – 10am (1 st mtg. 4/5)

Mr. Ketcham requested notice be made that should the CRC meeting finish early the subcommittee meeting will begin immediately after. Ms. West stated that it would be so noted in the Advertisement. Mr. Tolces stated that the language will be provided to staff.

The Chair called for a break at 11:24 am and immediately reconvened. The Chair informed everyone that Mr. Mena asked what New Business would be discussed today. She then called for any old or new business. Mr. Trower asked if there was going to be any further discussion with regard to the subcommittees and their process steps to be followed.

V OLD BUSINESS

Ms. Good requested an overview or summary of all the presenters and was informed by Mr. Tolces that an overview would call for an interpretation by staff. The Chair advised that this is something that the subcommittees should do for themselves and it might help them start their work. Ms. Gross stated that she has gone and pulled what the interviewers said from each of the meeting minutes. She stated that it is a summary and not verbatim and that it is approximately 40 pages.

The Chair reminded everyone that the Commission had agreed that the next group of speakers would be from Fire, EMS, Police, and different coalitions etc., the County Fire Rescue Council.

Mr. Lieberman reminded Ms. West that he requested that Dr. Levy be invited as well. Ms. West requested Mr. Lieberman provide her the information once more.

VI NEW BUSINESS

Staff was asked to invite someone from the Planning Council. The Chair advised that Land Use is intended after Emergency.

A discussion continued regarding whether subcommittees can invite presenters and the procedure that is to be followed.. Ms. West provided information on this and the hiring of outside assistance of attorneys and consultants.

Mr. Weiss and Mr. Benson discussed, with input requested from Mr. Trower, who might be invited to the Land Use subcommittee and who has knowledge of the current County Land Use

The Chair stated that she wants to see a place on the full committee Agenda for each subcommittee to provide an update to the CRC.

Mr. Weiss requested each of the Land Use subcommittee members to come to their first meeting with an order of priority of the items on their list for which issues each feels should be addressed first.

Mr. Lieberman confirmed that speakers need to be coordinated through Ms. West. Ms. West replied yes, and reminded the dais that meetings will take place back to back.

Ms. Kaletta asked if Health/Social Services and Public Safety have a meeting prior to the next CRC meeting. Mr. Trower stated that his subcommittee will be formatting what needs to be accomplished and he will bring that information forward at the next CRC meeting and The Chair confirmed that that was okay.

Ms. West reminded everyone that if there are materials to be distributed to and from subcommittee members, the materials can be forwarded to her for distribution.

Ms. Norris-Weeks suggested with regards to the issue of Ethics which is supposed to be addressed within her subcommittee to just take it to the regular Committee.

Mr. Mena suggested to the Transportation subcommittee members that they begin thinking of key persons to invite. He advised that there are plenty people to invite with regard to Public Safety and they should be aligned as soon as possible.

Mr. Tolces reminded everyone that the subcommittees are subject to the Sunshine Law and public records and this should be kept in mind in all dealings.

The Chair called for break at 11:44 am and advised that the meeting will resume at 12:15 pm

Mr. Mena pointed out that all Agenda items had been addressed. The Chair then called for any Public Comments.

VII PUBLIC COMMENT

Mr. Dan Glickman, Deerfield Beach

He repeated that the MPO is “stuck,” and stated that having a full discussion regarding the MPO membership is a very important discussion and he hopes that when that discussion takes place that it takes place fully. He then proceeded to remind the CRC of the process by which they can approach the MPO in order to place it on the ballot. Mr. Glickman requested that a full well written report be prepared to serve as a full set of information for everyone including the citizens of Broward. The Chair thanked Mr. Glickman for his comments.

She then inquired if there was anyone else who wished to speak. Hearing none, she returned to the subject of OLD / NEW BUSINESS above.

VI. ADJOURNMENT

The Chair reconvened the meeting at 11:44 am advising that there is no further business and called for a motion to adjourn. **Ms. Good made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Trower seconded the motion.**

There being no further discussion and no other public comment the meeting was adjourned at 11:45 p.m.

The minutes of this meeting are recorded on CD # 3.14.07 (BCGV CTR)

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTHER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS

LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME <i>Weiss Richard J.</i>	NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE <i>Charter Review Commission</i>
MAILING ADDRESS <i>1525 Ponte De Leon Blvd.</i>	THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF: <input type="checkbox"/> CITY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COUNTY <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER LOCAL AGENCY
CITY COUNTY <i>Coral Gables, FL. 33134 Dade</i>	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION: _____
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED <i>March 14, 2007</i>	MY POSITION IS: <input type="checkbox"/> ELECTIVE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APPOINTIVE

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office **MUST ABSTAIN** from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 and 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in their capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; *and*

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

Although you must abstain from voting in the situations described above, you otherwise may participate in these matters. However, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes. (Continued on other side)

APPOINTED OFFICERS (continued)

- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST

Richard J. Weiss, Esq., hereby disclose that on March 14, 2007

(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)

- inured to my special private gain or loss;
- inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____
- inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _____
- inured to the special gain or loss of the Broward MPO whom I am retained; or
- inured to the special gain or loss of _____, which is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.

(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:

The firm of which I am a partner, Weiss, Cerata, Helfman, et al, is counsel to the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO). As chair of the Land Use Subcommittee, a motion was made to transfer discussion of the MPO from Land Use to Transportation Subcommittee to avoid any conflict.

3/26/07
Date Filed

RJW
Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.